

STOLING THINK

RISK SCENARIO regarding FINNISH BUSINESSES' LOGISTICS CHAINS IN THE BALTIC SEA AREA

Presentation material



Risk scenario:

Maritime transport is obstructed in the entire Baltic Sea region

Also under inspection a variation where the Gulf of Bothnia can be used







The capacity of the transport system – what does it consist of?

The capacity of the transport system can be assessed through four factors, which are examined in this presentation. These are the **critical number of personnel**, **pieces of equipment and the capacity of routes and transport hubs for each mode of transport.** These are examined at a high level in this presentation. The capacity of the transport system defines the potential transport volumes of companies and industries in the risk scenario.











Key observations of industries

Oil industry

- Less than 10 % of the transport could be arranged
- Bottlenecks are the lack of suitable rail & road fleet in Sweden and Norway, and transloading at Haparanda/Tornio

Retail industry

- A large part of the unit traffic could be organized
- Road and railway transport equipment available in both Finland and Sweden



Chemical industry

- Would be seriously disturbed
- A fraction of the transport could be arranged
- Bottlenecks are the lack of rail & road fleet and the need for transloading of liquid bulk



Metal and mineral industry

- Large changes to the logistics of the operators
- A large part of the transport could be arranged
- The connection to Narvik is important



Wood industry

- Less than half of the transport could be arranged
- The logistic structure of the forest industry in Sweden can be utilized
- A lot of large unit transport

Other industries

 Within the energy industry, the shipment of LNG and uranium would be at risk – Hammerfest is an important port

The sudden increase in the cost of logistics would reduce the less critical transport flows. The total volume of transported goods would still be significant. All combined import and export flows of industries do not fit on the transportation network. Only a fraction of the total volume could get through. The risk scenario requires a more precise analysis of the prioritization of transport flows. Large unit transport is the easiest to implement and there are good logistical structures for it in Sweden and Norway as well. Liquid and dry bulk, on the other hand, pose significant challenges due to transloading and large masses.



Ports are the scenario's worst bottlenecks

Ports do not have a significant amount of additional capacity available – increasing capacity takes time and investments

Relocating all of Finland's current container traffic to Gothenburg could even triple Gothenburg port's container traffic volume, which the port and its land transport connections are not prepared to handle



Transport chain bottlenecks in container traffic





Haparanda

- 1 reachstacker
- 12–15 lifts/h
- 288–360 containers/day



Tornio

- 1 gantry crane, has had little use in recent years
- 25 lifts/h
- 600 containers/day

NB! In both Tornio and Haparanda, the transloading of non-container cargo can be very difficult

Oulu-Tornio railway

- Trains with 40 containers
- Extra capacity for up to 40 trains
- 1 600 containers/day

Rail capacity is moderately available across the border

Ofotbanen/Malmbanan ("Iron Ore Line") towards Narvik

About 60 % of the rail capacity is in use

Main line along the Gulf of Bothnia

The railway can be congested (by Finnish standards)

Railway directed to Gothenburg port

- Gothenburg port railway's section Kville–Pölsebo is the most congested railway section in Sweden
- Only one track with calculated utilization rate already at 100 % or about 90 trains/day

Malmö-Copenhagen directed to Europe

COLAS COMPAN

- The railway between Stockholm and Malmö is congested especially between Alvesta and Malmö
- Available capacity on the railway section between Malmö and Copenhagen



Flexibility by road transport



The road network capacity in the Nordic countries is not a bottleneck in the scenario

- Sweden has significantly more 2+2 and 2+1 lane roads than Finland
- Local congestion can appear on some road sections





Key routes of transport flows in the risk scenario

Maritime transport

- Finland's maritime transport completely blocked
- Sweden's coastal transport completely blocked
- Norway's sea area available as well as the connection to Göteborg

ROAD TRANSPORT

- Road transport using different Norwegian and Swedish ports
- Long distances are a challenge from the perspectives of transport personnel, time and cost

INTERNATIONAL PASSENGER TRAFFIC

COLAS COMPAN

- Maritime transport blocked significant challenge for commuting traffic from/to Estonia
- Air transport continues, some routes are diverted

RAILWAY TRANSPORT

- Railway transport as the primary substitute for maritime transport
- Haparanda and Tornio transloading → a challenge
- Ports with rail connection include e.g. Narvik, Trondheim, Bergen and Oslo in Norway, and Gothenburg in Sweden
- Direct rail connection to Europe through Sweden and Denmark may also be available for use



AIR TRANSPORT

- Air transport for critical products, such as medicines and valuable goods
- Flight path depends on the type and extent of the crisis



Import and export of general cargo

Container and trailer ports' throughput is a bottleneck, even though several ports are available for use Sufficient road capacity, limited rail capacity

Fluent border crossing by road, transloading for rail transport

Mostly road transport, some rail transport

ANNUAL IMPORTS **11,0 Mt,** which is equivalent to **440 000 containers**

> Rough estimate: Sweden's and Norway's ports have capacity for 20 % of Finland's general cargo flows. Some flows can be transported overland through Sweden and Denmark.

CAPACITY

ANNUAL EXPORTS 16,1 Mt, which is equivalent to 644 000 containers

Import and export of dry bulk



Limited capacity Reloading of dry Not many dry bulk Mostly rail transport, rail on the rail bulk needed – ports available for use. capacity between Oulu network Narvik is the most slow and Tornio is not high important port. enough ANNUAL ANNUAL **••**/**•** IMPORTS ╺╋╼╸╬═ EXPORTS 17,6 Mt. 17,7 Mt.

> Rough estimate: There is capacity for 25 % of Finland's dry bulk flows in Sweden's and Norway's ports.

Import and export of liquid bulk

Liquid bulk ports include Bergen and Gothenburg Limited capacity on the rail network and not enough wagons for liquid bulk

6-B

Temporary storage and transfer loading capacity needed

Mostly rail transport, rail capacity between Oulu and Tornio is not high enough

ANNUAL

EXPORTS

7,4 Mt.

ANNUAL IMPORTS **14,4 Mt.**

Rough estimate: Swedish and Norwegian ports have capacity for 50 % of Finland's liquid bulk flows. However, a large portion of e.g. Finnish crude oil and oil product imports already come from Norway and Sweden. This makes the estimation process complicated as the blockage of current maritime flows actually frees up capacity in Swedish and Norwegian ports.

CAPACITY

+-

Even in the best-case scenario...

30–40 % of general cargo can be transported

A reasonable situation in the transportation of retail and grocery products

Miná

The forest industry's large product volumes are a challenge

Other industries need transport of general cargo **20–30 % of dry bulk** can be transported

Large impact on the metal and mineral industry Only a fraction compared to the other industries and cargo types



About 10 % of liquid bulk

can be transported

The chemical industry would easily be disturbed



Adequate access to crude oil is an issue

The logistical system would be disturbed seriously.

Key messages of the presentation

The Baltic Sea is a strategic connection for Finland's foreign trade

The aim is to keep the maritime routes open by all means in all situations. Over 90 % of Finland's foreign trade depends on maritime transport.

Logistics costs would increase quickly and drastically

An increase in costs would cause a drop in companies' competitiveness. If the crisis is prolonged and exports decline, it would affect the Finnish economy.

The manufacturing industries in Finland would be endangered

The uncertainty of the duration of the crisis would force companies to take different measures and even consider moving production out of Finland.

In the situation of the risk scenario, goods transport would use the ports of Sweden and Norway as well as their rail and road network

The capacity of ports and railways sets limitations in Sweden, Norway and Finland. Tornio-Haparanda would be a critical hub for rail transport, and the single-track section between Tornio and Oulu would be at the limits of its capacity. However, the biggest bottlenecks may be the ports in Sweden and Norway, i.e. outside Finnish influence.

We can prepare for the risk scenario by signing international cooperation agreements between states and between companies

Infrastructure development takes time, so future risks must be prepared for well in advance with precisely targeted plans.